

**ILLINOIS INDEPENDENT TAX TRIBUNAL
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS**

SUFI FOOD CORPORATION,)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 14-TT-165
)	
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)	
OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	
Respondent.)	

ANSWER

The Department of Revenue of the State of Illinois, by and through its attorney, Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, answers the Taxpayer's Petition as follows:

1. Petitioner is an individual who lives at 11163 South Vincennes Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, 60643, and can be reached at 773-671-0427.

ANSWER: The information contained in Paragraph 1 is required by Illinois Tax Tribunal Regulations Section 310(a)(1)(A) (86 Ill. Admin. Code §5000.310) and is not a material allegation of fact that requires an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations. The Department admits the factual allegations contained in Paragraph 1.

2. Petitioner is represented by Romanoff & Dickett, Ltd. attorney James E. Dickett, located at 600 Hillgrove Avenue, Suite 1, Western Springs, Illinois 60558 and can be reached at 708-784-3200 or jdickett@aol.com.

ANSWER: The information contained in Paragraph 2 is required by Illinois Tax Tribunal Regulations Section 310(a)(1)(B) (86 Ill. Admin. Code §5000.310) and is not a material allegation of fact that requires an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations. The Department admits the factual allegations contained in Paragraph 2.

3. Petitioner's Taxpayer (Account) ID is 2506-3170.

ANSWER: The information contained in Paragraph 3 is required by Illinois Tax Tribunal Regulations Section 310(a)(1)(C) (86 Ill. Admin. Code §5000.310) and is not a material allegation of fact that requires an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations. The Department admits the factual allegations contained in Paragraph 3.

4. The Department is an agency of the Executive Department of the State Government and is tasked with the enforcement and administration of Illinois tax law. 20 ILCS 5/5-15.

ANSWER: Paragraph 4 contains a legal conclusion, not a material allegation of fact, and therefore does not require an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations.

5. On May 28, 2014, Petitioner received a Notice of Tax Liability letter ("Notice") from the Department for a sales/use tax audit for the tax periods July 1, 2010 to December 31, 2012. The Notice reflects \$76,017.00 in tax due, \$15,334.00 in late payment penalties, \$62.00 in late filing penalty, and \$4,267.20 in interest, for a total assessment balance of \$95,680.20. The Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

ANSWER: The Department admits the factual allegations in Paragraph 5.

6. Petitioner brings this action pursuant to the Illinois Independent Tax Tribunal Act ("Tribunal Act"), 35 ILCS 1010/1-1 to 35 ILCS 1010/1-100.

ANSWER: Paragraph 6 contains a legal conclusion, not a material allegation of fact, and therefore does not require an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations.

7. This Tribunal has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Section 1-45 and 1-50 of the Tribunal Act because: (a) Petitioner timely filed an Illinois Department of Revenue

Administrative Hearings Division protest within 60 days of the Notice; (b) the Department dismissed the protest for lack of jurisdiction (copy attached) but provided 60 days to file this Petition with the Tax Tribunal; and (c) Petitioner timely filed this Petition within 60 days from the Department's letter.

ANSWER: Paragraph 7 contains a legal conclusion, not a material allegation of fact, and therefore does not require an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations.

8. Petitioner is located at 111th Street and Vincennes Avenue (south side).

ANSWER: The Department admits the factual allegations in Paragraph 8.

9. Defendant audited Petitioner's books and records for the tax periods July 1, 2010 to December 31, 2012.

ANSWER: The Department admits the factual allegations in Paragraph 9.

10. The audit liability contained in the Notice is based on projections whereby the Department multiplied the Petitioner's purchases by estimated industry standard selling prices of Petitioner's products (i.e., gas and mini-mart items).

ANSWER: The Department admits the factual allegations in Paragraph 10.

COUNT I

Defendant's audit methodology overstates Petitioner's liability.

11. Petitioner realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations made in Paragraphs 1 through 10, inclusive, hereinabove.

ANSWER: The Department incorporates and repeats its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 10 as though fully set forth herein.

12. On audit, the Department calculated the audit liability by multiplying Petitioner's purchases by estimated selling prices.

ANSWER: The Department admits the factual allegations in Paragraph 12.

13. By applying such estimated prices to Petitioner's purchases during the audit period, the Department drastically and unreasonably inflated Petitioner's audit liability because the Petitioner's selling prices during the audit period were lower than the estimates used by the Department especially with respect to cigarette sales and also with respect to gas sales due to severe local competition and proximity to the suburbs and Indiana.

ANSWER: The Department denies all factual allegations contained in Paragraph 13.

WHEREFORE, the Department respectfully requests this tribunal:

- a. Deny each prayer for relief in the Petition;
- b. Find that the Department's Notices correctly reflect the Petitioner's liability including interest and penalties;
- c. Enter judgment in favor of the Department and against the Petitioner; and
- d. Grant any further relief this Tribunal deems just and appropriate.

COUNT II

All penalties should be abated based on reasonable cause.

14. Petitioner realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations made in Paragraphs 1 through 13, inclusive, hereinabove.

ANSWER: The Department incorporates and repeats its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 13 as though fully set forth herein.

15. In its Notice, the Department assessed late payment/filing penalties.

ANSWER: The Department admits the factual allegations in Paragraph 15.

16. Illinois law provides that late payment penalties do not apply if a taxpayer shows that its failure to pay tax was due to reasonable cause. 35 ILCS 735/3-8.

ANSWER: Paragraph 16 contains a legal conclusion, not a material allegation of fact, and therefore does not require an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations. The Department admits the existence, force and effect of Section 3-8 of the Uniform Penalty and Interest Act (35 ILCS 735 *et seq.*), and states that the statute speaks for itself.

17. The most important factor to be considered in making a determination to abate a penalty will be the extent to which the taxpayer made a good faith effort to determine and pay its proper tax liability in a timely fashion. 86 Ill. Admin. Code 700.400(b).

ANSWER: Paragraph 17 contains a legal conclusion, not a material allegation of fact, and therefore does not require an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations.

18. A taxpayer will be considered to have made a good faith effort to determine and pay its proper tax liability if it exercised ordinary business care and prudence in doing so. 86 Ill. Admin. Code 700.400(b).

ANSWER: Paragraph 18 contains a legal conclusion, not a material allegation of fact, and therefore does not require an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations.

19. Petitioner exercised ordinary business care and prudence when it reasonably determined its sales and use tax liability during the audit period and did not use estimated prices.

ANSWER: Paragraph 19 contains a legal conclusion, not a material allegation of fact, and therefore does not require an answer under Section 310(b)(2) of the Tax Tribunal Regulations. The Department denies the legal conclusions/allegations contained in Paragraph 19.

WHEREFORE, the Department respectfully requests this tribunal:

- a. Deny each prayer for relief in the Petition;
- b. Find that the Department's Notices correctly reflect the Petitioner's liability including interest and penalties;
- c. Enter judgment in favor of the Department and against the Petitioner; and
- d. Grant any further relief this Tribunal deems just and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,
Illinois Department of Revenue

By: /s/ Ashley Hayes Forte
Ashley Hayes Forte
Special Assistant Attorney General

Ashley Hayes Forte
Illinois Department of Revenue
100 West Randolph Street, 7-900
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 814-3514 phone
(312) 814-4344 facsimile
ashley.forte@illinois.gov